IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 27027 of 1999

Between:

1.P. Yesuratnam, S/o.P.V.S.N.Murthy,

R/o.B-34, Barrage Colony,

Davaleswaram, Rajahmundry Rural

East Godavari District.

2.K.Prashanth Kumar, S/o.Balraj,

R/o.H.No.13-148/1, Vasavi Colony,

Near Ramakrishnapuram,

Dilsukhnagar, Hyderabad-35

3.M.Snehith, S/o.Srinivasulu naidu,

R/o.Plot No.204, Vivekananda Nagar Colony,

Kukatpally, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1. The Convenor, EAMCET-99

J.N.T.U, Hyderabad.

2. The Convenor, A.P.State Council of Higher Education

Hyderabad. (**Dismissed for default** as per Court order dt.21.3.2003)

3. The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by

its Secretary, Education Department,

Secretariat, Hyderabad.

4. The Director General, N.C.C., Secunderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to call for records pertaining to allotting of seats under N.C.C. reservations pursuant to EAMCET-99 and declare the same as illegal, arbitrary by issuing a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus and consequently direct the respondents to implement the rule of reservation to N.C.C. category strictly in terms of the priorities contemplated under G.O.Ms.No.194, dt.26.8.1993 by conducting re-counselling in the interest of justice.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.K.ANANDA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3: GP FOR HIGHER EDUCATION

Counsel for the Respondent No.4: None appeared.

The Court made the following :

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO.27027 OF 1999

ORDER:

The petitioners appeared for Engineering, Agricultural and Medical Common Entrance Test (EAMCET) – 1999. After declaration of the results, they claimed admission under N.C.C. quota, in vain. However, they were offered Engineering course in the branch other than which they claimed under NCC quota. Therefore, they filed present Writ Petition seeking a direction to respondents to implement the rule of reservation for NCC category in accordance with G.O.Ms.No.194, dt.26.8.1993.

Though this Court admitted the Writ Petition, no interim direction is passed. In the counter affidavit filed by the Convenor of EAMCET-1999, it is stated that petitioners were offered Civil Engineering branch in Bapatla Engineering College, but they did not accept and that they have opted for other seats in other Engineering Colleges. Therefore, in all probability, the petitioners might have completed their course. The prayer in this Writ Petition cannot be granted at this point of time as the petitioners sought admission during the academic year 1999-2000.

The Writ Petition is accordingly dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

25.8.2004

bnr

To

1. The Convenor, EAMCET-99

J.N.T.U, Hyderabad.

2. The Convenor, A.P.State Council of Higher Education

Hyderabad.

3. The Secretary to Government of Andhra Pradesh,

Education Department,

Secretariat, Hyderabad.

4. The Director General, N.C.C., Secunderabad.

5. 2CCs to G.P. for Higher Education, High Court Bldgs., Hyderabad (OUT)

6.2CD copies